

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,000	09/05/2003	Henry A. Davis	SEARCHP.005C2	7520	
7590 09/16/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Gazdzinski & Associates			RAY, GOPAL C		
Suite 375 11440 West Bernardo Ct.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA			2111		
		DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	A	lication No.	Applicant(s)			
		lication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		556,000	DAVIS, HENRY A.			
Office Action Summary	LAGI	miner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this comi		al C. Ray	2111			
Period for Reply	nameation appears	mic oover sheet man ar	c correspondence duaress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If the period for reply specified above is less than thi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7040	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir communication. rty (30) days, a reply within t um statutory period will apply reply will, by statute, cause t on this after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may a reply be the statutory minimum of thirty (30) y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s	) filed on <i>8/26/2004</i> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the pr	actice under Ex pan	te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-32</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s)		m consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or elect	tion requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 Septe</u>						
Applicant may not request that any o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu  11) The oath or declaration is objected.			•			
	ed to by the Examine	a. Note the attached Offi	ce Action of form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla		ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None c						
Certified copies of the prio     Certified copies of the prio			ation No.			
			ation No ived in this National Stage			
application from the Intern						
* See the attached detailed Office a			ived.			
		*				
		BEST AV	AILABLE COPY			
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie</li> </ol>	w (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144	9 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informa	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office		6) Other:				
TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Su	ımmarv	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 09142004			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,000 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

1. Claims 11-32 are presented for examination.

- 2. The Terminal Disclaimer filed on 8/26/04 is not proper and has not been accepted because it does not satisfy Rule 321. See a sample Form for applicant's use in MPEP 1400-105.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 11-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,618,775 issued to Henry A. Davis in view of common knowledge in the art including US Patent 5,774,476 issued to Pressly et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are obvious variations except the use of data transfer protocol IEEE 1149.1 in claims 15, 26, 29 and 31. However, the use of data transfer protocol IEEE 1149.1 in test access port was well known in the art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by US Patent 5,774,476 issued to Pressly et al. The reference of Pressly et al. teaches the feature in col. 2, lines 35-40. It would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/656,000 Page 3

Art Unit: 2111

implement the above protocol in the system of Henry A. Davis because this a conventional protocol used in test access port.

- 5. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. Moreover, all claims should be revised carefully to eliminate all grammatical errors and antecedent basis problems.
- 6. Claims 11-32 would be allowable over the prior art on record because the claims recite in combination with other elements, "a trigger condition which is compared with an event occurring on a monitored bus and in response to a comparison match, a trace of bus data is retained in storage". The prior art on record does not teach or fairly suggests at least the above claimed feature.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 10/656,000

Art Unit: 2111

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gopal C. Ray whose telephone number is (703) 305-9647. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart, can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The new fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file.

PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to TC2100 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Gobal C. Ray

GOPAL C. RAY

PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 2300

Page 4